

From: public.access@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk
Sent: 17 November 2023 11:20
To: Planning Application Comments
Subject: Consultee Comments for Planning Application 23/01050/FUL

Consultee comments

Dear Sir/Madam,

A consultee has commented on a Planning Application. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/11/2023 11:19 AM from Mr Giles Rawdon (giles.rawdon@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk) on behalf of HBBC ES Pollution 2.

Application Summary

Reference:	23/01050/FUL
Address:	FP McCann Brascote Lane Cadeby Nuneaton Leicestershire CV13 0BB
Proposal:	Proposed steel fabrication workshop
Case Officer:	Matt Jedruch

[Click for further information](#)

Comments Details

With regard to noise I have the following comments relating to the Noise Impact Assessment:

I note the use of the Pluristar 2BK plant in the assessment- is this the proposed plant or a surrogate? Please provide manufacturers data if the plant is known- preferably with frequencies.

What is the greatest diameter bars that FP McCann use- the Pluristar plant is able to bend poles to 16mm the Acad assessment reports on noise from 5-10mm bars.

Was the Acad reporting on single or double strands and can the applicant provide details on any difference in sound levels for each? The manufacturers website states that there are different operating modes (stirrup mode or rotor mode) is there a difference in sound levels between modes?

What is the relevance of the Charlie Fleming Associates Report Table 1(b) (Appendix 2)?

The LaFMax in the Acad report is 11dB higher than the Laeq at 101dB(A). This has not been mentioned in the report other than adding a 3dB correction to the rating level for impulse noise. This maximum noise level would be clearly distinguishable (and justify a greater correction).

Will any noise control methods be used to reduce noise exposure to employees from the plant that will benefit emissions from the building?

Is any external plant proposed?

Kind regards