

---

**From:** public.access@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 01 July 2025 12:34  
**To:** Planning Application Comments  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 25/00347/FUL  
  
**Categories:** Lauren

## Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/07/2025 12:33 PM from Battlefields Trust Trust.

### Application Summary

Address:	The White Swan 47 High Street Stoke Golding Nuneaton Leicestershire CV13 6HE
Proposal:	Extension to existing public house, change of use of existing garden land to glamping use and associated works
Case Officer:	Ashleigh Gade

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name:	Battlefields Trust Trust
Email:	<a href="mailto:research@battlefieldstrust.com">research@battlefieldstrust.com</a>
Address:	Crown House 27 Old Gloucester Street London

### Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Other
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>Thank you for the opportunity for the battlefields Trust to comment on this planning application.</p> <p>We note that the proposed development site is on and adjacent to the nationally important registered battlefield of Bosworth (1485). We also note that the site has a long planning history of which this proposal is the latest attempt to bring The Swan public house back into use.</p> <p>We agree that the proposed development is less impactful on the registered battlefield than</p>

an earlier application which aimed to build houses on the site which was rejected by the planning inspector, in part on the grounds of the harm to the battlefield heritage.

Against this background, the Trust remains concerned that this planning application seeks to introduce glamping tents and ancillary buildings on or directly adjacent to the registered battlefield which are not in keeping with its rural character. It seems to the Trust that the glamping tents would be noticeable from the wider battlefield to the north and west and would have a harmful effect on the rural landscape. The ancillary buildings would obviously impact the setting of the registered battlefield as, on the plans provided by the applicant, they would be visible from the tents placed on registered area.

It is not clear from the application to what extent existing or proposed landscaping would mitigate these issues and it may be that a fuller explanation of this or changes to the landscaping proposed would address these concerns, to some degree. This might allow the Battlefields Trust to have a more positive view of the proposed development.

---

Kind regards