Jenny Bristow

From: planning@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk
Posted At: 27 April 2009 11:25
Conversation: PublicAccess for Planning - Application Comments (09/00262/FUL)
Posted To: Planning Application Comments

Subject: PublicAccess for Planning - Application Comments (09/00262/FUL)

PublicAccess for Planning - Application Comments (09/00262/FUL)

"Mr & Mrs R White" has used the PublicAccess for Planning website to submit their comments on a Planning Application. You have received this message because you are the Case Officer for this application or because this is a designated mailbox for PublicAccess comments submissions.

Comments were submitted at 27/04/2009 11:24:30 from IP 192.168.0.3.

Application Summary

Application Number:
09/00262/FUL

Address:
28 Arnold Road
Stoke Golding
Nuneaton
CV13 6JG

Proposal:
EXTENSION AND ALTERATIONS TO DWELLING

Case Officer:
James Hicks

Customer Details

Name:
Mr & Mrs R White

Address:
30 Arnold Road
Stoke Golding

Postcode:
CV13 6JG

Email:
white.rob@talktalk.net

Phone Number:
01455 213836

Comments

Submission Type:
Customer objects to the Planning Application.

Comments:
Thank you for your letter dated 15 April 2009 notifying us of an extension and alterations to 28 Arnold Road, Stoke Golding.

It is with some dismay that we learn of the extensive alterations that we consider to be out of line with the original overall planning concept of our estate.

We therefore wish to register our objection to this proposal as follows:-

1) The proposed garage wall is clearly too close to our property and will create a safety issue with regard to the operation and protection of the exhaust flue from our gas fire, which was fitted as standard on the estate. Any attempt to negotiate access past this flue would be foolhardy and could result in damage, exposing unacceptable risk to both parties. As far as we can determine the distance between the new garage wall and our property at the point of the gas exhaust is as little as 2ft 6in.

2) The proposed new garage would be constructed some 2ft above our plot giving rise to an unacceptable relative wall height of 10ft at the front, rising to 14ft.

3) The construction of the proposed garage will create an alcove effect at the entrance to our property resulting in unacceptable loss of light in our living area. This is clearly aesthetically bad planning and cannot be allowed to happen

4) The front wall of the proposed new upstairs bedroom would protrude approx 9ft in front of our near building face making it clearly visible from our front windows.

Bearing in mind the above, which outlines our concerns about loss of light, safety of gas and the serious deterioration of the image of our house frontage, these proposals outlined for no 28 Arnold Road can only be described as extensive and should not be seriously considered in their present stage.

In the meantime we will be seeking professional advice on the potential legal and financial aspects of these proposals.

I trust you can see our view point; perhaps you could make a suggestion that a similar scheme or alteration could as easily be made further to the rear without the risk of serious breach of sensible planning parameters.

PublicAccess for Planning. (c) CAPS Solutions Ltd.