

Covering Email and supporting information Dated 25.5.2023

Good afternoon Lesley,

Further to the previous correspondence received from the LLFA and the Council's Drainage officer, I have been liaising with PRP and they have commented as follows in [blue](#):

*Surface water drainage engineering layout plan incorporating pipe sizes, gradients, flow directions, pipe numbers, cover and invert levels.*

*• Full modelled scenarios for the 1 in 1 year, 1 in 30 year and 1 in 100-year plus climate change storm events*

The above is a detailed drainage design that they are after. This is usually conditioned as a pre-start. These details have been previously provided within the approved Drainage Strategy.

*• Detailed design drawings, including cross sections and long sections of key drainage runs, flow control and SuDS features.*

The above is a detailed drainage design that they are after. This is usually conditioned as a pre-start. Also, long sections would usually be something for adopted drainage. Very strange to be asking for this now.

*• Confirmation on the appropriate drawing what specific SuDS flow control products will be used to ensure the correct flow control is incorporated into the modelled scenario.*

FW have put Hydrobrake on their drawing.

*• Details demonstrating how surface water will be managed on site to prevent an increase in flood risk during the various construction stages of development from initial site works through to completion. This shall include temporary attenuation, additional treatment, controls, maintenance and protection. Details regarding the protection of any proposed infiltration areas should also be provided.*

The above is our previously submitted Surface Water Management Plan.

The above response is concerning particularly with regards to point 4, as it suggests that the previously submitted information has not been reviewed correctly. In the first instance, I have attached the previous Drainage Strategy from Farrow Walsh, which contains information regarding points 1 to 3 and I welcome their further comments in due course.