Ns withdraw as per email.

James Hicks

From: Trevor Wells [trevor@wellsmcfarlane.co.uk]
Sent: 26 November 2008 12:04
To: James Hicks
Subject: RE: 2008-1007-04.doc

08/01007/ful

James

Further to our earlier correspondence and the comments received from County Archaeology, coupled with the queries raised by Highways, I am instructed by my clients to withdraw the application to enable adequate field evaluation to be done. I am in the process of getting Leicester University to provide a fee quote for this work. I will also use the time to liaise with Highways. Please keep me informed of any outstanding consultee responses.

Please confirm our request for withdrawal has been effected.

Regards

Trevor

Trevor J Wells MRICS FAAV

Director

Wells McFarlane

T: 01455 559030

F: 01455 558529

M: 07730 659885

This e-mail contains confidential information some or all of which may be legally privileged. It is for the intended recipient only.

If an addressing or transmission error has misdirected this e-mail, please notify the author by replying to this e-mail.

If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, distribute, copy, print or rely on this e-mail.

No e-mail or combination of e-mails will constitute a binding contract with this firm or any party for whom we act. No undertaking is binding unless confirmed in writing.

Wells McFarlane is the trading name of Wells McFarlane Ltd, Registered in England and Wales number 05016112

-----Original Message-----
From: James Hicks [mailto:James.Hicks@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk]
Sent: 26 November 2008 10:25
To: Trevor Wells
Subject: FW: 2008-1007-04.doc

Trevor,

Find attached the County Highway Authorities comments in respect of the Cadeby application.

With regret it appears these comments have been with us for some time but have not been forwarded to me, which is frustrating. However, as you will see they are not positive and also indicate that a revised layout will be needed to be proposed to obtain their approval. In the current form, the highways deficiencies would constitute a reason for refusal.

The highways issue, coupled with the archaeology problem and the lack of a English