

Proposed Agricultural Permitted Development - Assessment

Application Ref: 25/01148/AGDO

Permitted development

The carrying out on agricultural land comprised in an agricultural unit of 5 hectares or more in area of:

- (a) works for the erection, extension or alteration of a building; or**
(b) any excavation or engineering operations,

which are reasonably necessary for the purposes of agriculture within that unit.

Officer Statement on Class A eligibility and agricultural justification:

This is an application for a proposed general agricultural building for the storage of machinery in conjunction with the agricultural use of the site, fodder and straw. The agricultural unit comprises an area of 352 hectares and has operated for agricultural purposes for a period of 92 years. A planning application (23/00007/AGDO) was approved for an agricultural storage building. This building which has been erected measured 360sqm.

The proposed agricultural storage building would have dimensions of 30 metres in length, and 15 metres in width with a total ground area of 450 square metres. It would have an eaves height of 6 metres and a ridge height of 8 metres. The building would have a fibre cement sheet roof, which would be grey in colour. The external walls of the building would consist of grey concrete panels to the rear and ends, and green cladding, which would match the adjacent agricultural buildings on site.

The applicant has clarified that the agricultural need for the building arises as currently the fodder, straw and machinery is being stored outside. The storage of machinery, straw and fodder outside has resulted in increased cost and waste for the applicant, whilst operating from the site.

The Case Officer is satisfied with the justification for the development of an agricultural building for the purposes of storage of machinery, fodder and straw, the location of the development and overall design is considered to be acceptable and synonymous with the rural vernacular of the site.

Development is not permitted by Class A if:

(tick if triggered)

✓

(a)	The development would be carried out on a separate parcel of land forming part of the unit which is less than 1 hectare in area	
Comment:	<i>The development will not be carried out on a separate parcel of land of less than 1 hectare.</i>	
(b)	It would consist of the erection or extension of any agricultural building on an established agricultural unit (as defined in paragraph X of Part 3 of this Schedule) where development under Class Q or S of Part 3 (changes of use) of this Schedule has been carried out within a period of 10 years ending with the date on which development under Class A(a) begins;	
Comment:	<i>There has been no development under Class Q or S of Part 3 undertaken within the past 10 years on the agricultural unit.</i>	
(c)	It would consist of, or include the erection, extension or alteration of a dwelling	
Comment:	<i>The development does not consist of or include the erection of, or extensions or alterations to a dwelling house.</i>	
(d)	It would involve the provision of a building, structure or works not designed for agricultural purposes	
Comment:	<i>The proposed building is designed for agricultural purposes.</i>	
(e)	The ground area which would be covered by:- any works or structure (other than a fence) for accommodating livestock or any	

	plant or machinery arising from engineering operations; or any building erected or extended or altered by virtue of Class A, would exceed 1500 square metres, calculated as described in paragraph D.2	
Comment:	<i>The application is not for livestock or any plant or machinery arising from engineering operations and the building would not exceed 1500 square metres calculated as described in paragraph D.2.</i>	
(f)	The height of any part of any building, structure or works within 3 kilometers of the perimeter of an aerodrome would exceed 3 metres	
Comment:	<i>The proposed development is not within 3 kilometres of an aerodrome.</i>	
(g)	The height of any part of any building, structure or works not within 3 kilometers of the perimeter of an aerodrome would exceed 12 metres	
Comment:	<i>No part of the development would exceed 12 metres in height.</i>	
(h)	Any part of the development would be within 25 metres of a metalled part of a trunk road or classified road	
Comment:	<i>The proposed development is not located within 25 metres of a metalled part of a trunk road or classified road.</i>	
(i)	It would consist of, or include, the erection or construction of, or the carrying out of any works to, a building, structure or an excavation used or to be used for the accommodation of livestock or for the storage of slurry or sewage sludge where the building, structure or excavation is, or would be, within 400 metres of the curtilage of a protected building;	
Comment:	<i>The proposal is not for a building which would be used for the accommodation of livestock or for the storage of slurry or sewage sludge.</i>	
(j)	It would involve excavations or engineering operations on or over article 2(4) land which are connected with fish farming; or	
Comment:	<i>The proposed development does not involve excavations or engineering operations on or over article 2(4) land which are connected with fish farming.</i>	
(k)	any building for storing fuel for or waste from a biomass boiler or an anaerobic digestion system- i) would be used for storing waste not produced by that boiler or system or for storing fuel not produced on land within the unit; or ii) is or would be within 400 metres of the curtilage of a protected building.	
Comment:	<i>The proposal does not relate to any development which will be used for storing fuel or waste from a biomass boiler or an anaerobic digestion system</i>	
(l)	the erection or extension of a building would be carried out on land or a building that is, or is within the curtilage of, a scheduled monument.	
Comment:	<i>The land/building is not, nor is it within the curtilage of, a scheduled monument.</i>	
	Has another agricultural building been constructed within the last two years? <i>(The guidance that accompanies Part 6 indicates that the calculation of the ground area should include other buildings constructed within the preceding two years. In considering the other building constructed, the development still falls within the 1000 square metre threshold).</i>	
Comment:	<i>The application history of the site confirms that a building has been approved and built within the last two years, under application reference 23/00007/AGDO. The floor area of the approved measured 360 square metres, and combined with this proposal under application reference 25/01148/AGDO, the combined floor area would be less than the 1000 square metres threshold.</i>	

Siting, Design and External Appearance

The building is proposed to be sited close to an existing and similar agricultural building. The application form outlines the proposed design and external appearance will match the existing building. Therefore, whilst the building will be visible it is in keeping with the rural context and therefore prior-approval is not required as to the siting, design and external appearance. Overall the development appears to comply with the provisions of Class A, however, this is not an application for a lawful development certificate and it ultimately the applicants responsibility to ensure compliance with the GPDO in that regard.

Equality Implications

Section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010 created the public sector equality duty. Section 149 states:-

- (1) A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to:
- a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;
 - b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
 - c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

Officers have taken this into account and given due regard to this statutory duty, and the matters specified in Section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010 in the determination of this application.

There are no known equality implications arising directly from this development