

From: public.access@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk <public.access@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 August 2026 09:58:44 UTC+01:00
To: "PF - Planning" <planningapplications@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk>
Subject: Consultee Comments for Planning Application 25/01004/FUL

Consultee comments

Dear Sir/Madam,

A consultee has commented on a Planning Application. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/08/2026 9:58 AM from William Kelly (william.kelly@leics.gov.uk) on behalf of LCC Archaeology - Historical And Natural Environment Team.

Application Summary

Reference:	25/01004/FUL
Address:	Land To Rear Of Springbank High Street Stoke Golding Nuneaton Leicestershire CV13 6HF
Proposal:	Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 19 dwellings, formation of access and associated works
Case Officer:	Ashleigh Gade

[Click for further information](#)

Comments Details

Dear Ashleigh,

25/01004/FUL | Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 19 dwellings, formation of access and associated works | Land To Rear Of Springbank High Street Stoke Golding Nuneaton

Archaeological comments

Comments:

Thank you for your re-consultation on the above planning application.

We note the submission of revised site plans and would refer to our previous comments, provided 05/12/2025, where we recommended that trial trenching evaluation should be undertaken prior to determination of the application.

With regard to the ridge and furrow earthworks we would advise that the applicant carry out a topographic earthwork survey to offset the loss of these remains from a purely archaeological perspective. Given that the trial trenching will introduce a degree of physical disturbance to the ridge and furrow earthworks (taking into account that the earthwork form can be reinstated as part of the trench backfilling methodology), we would recommend that the applicant should undertake the topographic survey prior to the trenching taking place. The results of this topographic survey may also inform the appropriate scope of trial trenching, through targeting aspects of the earthwork remains not resolved by the survey itself.

As noted in our previous comments, although the earthworks appear to provide little academic value from an archaeological point of view, we would anticipate that the earthworks will retain value as a community asset. In this context we would recommend that the LPA should consider the harm caused to the ridge and furrow as a non-designated heritage asset against the benefits of the proposal, as part of the balancing process.

Please do feel free to let me know if you have any queries.

Kind Regards,

William

Kind regards

This email and any files sent with it are confidential. If this email isn't intended for you, please notify the sender immediately and then permanently delete it. You must not read, print, store, disclose, copy or take any other action in respect of this email. We routinely monitor incoming and outgoing email messages to ensure they comply with Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council's policy on the use of electronic communications. The contents of emails may have to be disclosed to a request under the Data Protection Act 2018, Freedom of Information Act 2000 and/or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. The views expressed by the author may not necessarily reflect the views or policies of Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council. Attachments to email messages may contain viruses that may damage your system. Whilst Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise this risk, we cannot accept any liability for any damage you suffer as a result. You are advised to carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Save paper - only print this email if necessary. Visit us online: www.hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk Main office: Hinckley Hub, Rugby Road, Hinckley, Leics LE10 0FR