Jenny Bristow

From: Stephen Miller [stevemiller1955@googlemail.com]
Posted At: 24 March 2009 13:12
Conversation: Application 09/00076/FUL
Posted To: Planning Folder
Subject: Application 09/00076/FUL

Attention Eleanor Shaw,

I have just read the agenda for the Planning Meeting that was held on the 10th March and I note there appears to be some very misleading statements which appear on the submission of application 09/00076/FUL. Under item 10 it clearly states on page 48 that "At the time of writing no comments had been received from.... and it lists various groups including residents. This is clearly not the case as there are 56 documents posted on the official website, 95% of which are totally opposed to the erection of the MUGA. It appears to be a very one sided application with little or no reference to the overwhelming rejection from the residents who reside on all 4 sides of the park.

If the proposal is approved on the basis of the submission what is the procedure for appeal that will allow all of those residents who wrote to you clearly rejecting the proposal to have a fair and unbiased hearing?

Regards

Steve Miller