

Geotechnical certificate

OO Reference No.: 61823

1. We, the 'Design Organisation' (Pell Frischmann) certifies that the submitted information for the geotechnical activities listed below have been prepared by us with reasonable professional skill and diligence, and that in our opinion:

- i. constitutes and adequate and economic design for the project
- ii. appropriate solutions to all the reasonably foreseeable geotechnical risks have been incorporated
- iii. the work intended is accurately represented and conforms to OO's requirements
- iv. with the exception of any item listed below or appended overleaf, the documentation has been prepared in accordance with the relevant documents from the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges and the Manual of Contract Documents for Highway Works.

and, where necessary,

- v. The design elements covered in this certificate are not detrimental to the design elements previously certified and not amended by this certificate.

2. LIST OF SUBMITTED INFORMATION

Hinkley Motorway Service Area (MSA) M69 Junction 1 – S278 works to A5, Statement of Intent, Ref. 110705-PEF-XX-S278-TRP-G-0001 Rev P02, October 2025.

3. DEPARTURES FROM DMRB DOCUMENTS (List or None)

None

4. INCORPORATION OF GEOTECHNICAL DATA INTO CONSTRUCTION DETAILS (where relevant)

The reports, ~~design data, drawings or documents~~ listed above have been accurately translated onto the construction drawings or other design documents bearing the unique numbers listed below/appended overleaf.



24/10/2025

.....
Signed and dated by the DGA

Where necessary, also signed with date and organisation by the Contractor (agent or Contracts Director)

Countersignature by Overseeing Organisation (*delete or strike through as necessary) This

Certificate is:

- (a) received*

- (b) received with comments as follows.*
- (c) returned marked 'comments' as follows:*

.....

Signed and dated by the OOGA

Note

'Received' = Submission accompanying certificate is accepted

'Received with comments' = Submission accompanying certificate is generally acceptable but requires amendment.

'Returned marked comments' = submission accompanying certificate is unacceptable and should be revised and resubmitted.

* Delete as necessary
