DCAPLCOR

12 MAY 2009

The Planning Inspectorate

Room: 3/22
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol BS1 6PN

Direct Line: 0117-372-8827
Switchboard: 0117-372-8000
Fax No: 0117-372-8181
GTN: 1371-8827
teamp2@pins.gsi.gov.uk
http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk

Mrs T Darke
Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council
Planning Officer
Council Offices
Argents Mead
Hinckley
Leicestershire
LE10 1BZ

Your Ref: 08/00978/FUL

Our Ref: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF
Further appeal references at foot of letter

Date: 11 May 2009

Dear Mrs Darke

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Appeals by Graham Wragg
Site at Common Farm, Barton Road, Carlton, Nuneaton, CV13 0RL

I enclose a copy of the appellant statement relating to the above appeals.

If you have any comments on the points raised, please send 2 copies to me no later than **27 May 2009**. You should comment solely on the representations enclosed with this letter.

You cannot introduce new material or put forward arguments that should have been included in your earlier statement. If you do, your comments will not be accepted and will be returned to you.

By the same date, please also send me the following information (preferably by email):

- A list of the witnesses that you intend to call, including those who may not submit a proof of evidence.
- An estimation of the time that you will need to present your case. This should be broken down into the following:
  - opening the case;
  - evidence-in-chief for each witness;
  - cross-examination of each witness; and
  - closing submission.

Using e-mail and the internet

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
12 MAY 2009
RECEIVED

You can now use the internet to send us documents and check the information and the progress of this case at (www.planningportal.gov.uk/pcs). If you send us your comments by e-mail, you only need to send us one copy of each. However, if you post your comments, please send us 2 copies of everything and put the full appeal reference number on each copy.

Comments submitted after the 9-week deadline will not be seen by the Inspector unless there are extraordinary circumstances for the late submission.

Yours sincerely

Jenny Howland

211AL(BPR)

Further appeal references:- APP/K2420/A/09/2101955

To LPA 7/5

Howland, Jenny

From: Louise Cotter [louisecotter@marrons.net]

Sent: 07 May 2009 17:00

To: Team P2

Cc: alison.white@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk; James Botterill; MColes@hallamgroup.com

Subject: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF and APP/K2420/A/09/2101955/NWF Site at Common Farm, Barton Road, Carlton, Nuneaton, CV13 0RL

Please see attached

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
12 MAY 2009
RECEIVED

The partners are corporate partners wholly owned by solicitors and the firm is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

Partners: Peter Marron Ltd (company no 04960803), Kevin Sumner Ltd (company no 04960853), John Edmond Ltd (company no 04960000), Nicholas Robinson Ltd (company no 04960015), Morag Thomson Ltd (company no 04960097), Simon Stanion Ltd (company no 04960084), Louise Mee Ltd (company no 04964164). Each company is registered in England with the registered office address above.

This email and the information it contains are confidential and may be privileged and copyright protected. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately and you should not copy it for any purpose, or disclose its contents to any other person. Internet communications are not secure and therefore Marrons does not accept any legal responsibility for the contents of this message as it has been transmitted over a public network. If you suspect the message may have been intercepted or amended, please call the sender.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2007/11/0032.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Meridian South, Meridian Business Park, Leicester LE19 1WY
< 710910 LEICESTER MERIDIAN
l : 0116 289 2200 Fax : 0116 289 3733 www.marrons.net

DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES

12 MAY 2009

RECEIVED

...ENT
...VICES
12 MAY 2009
...EIVED

COPY LETTER

Marrons Solicitors
1 Meridian South
Meridian Business Park
Leicester LE19 1WY
Telephone : 0116 289 2200

The Planning Inspectorate
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN
BY E-MAIL AND POST: teamp2@pins.gsi.gov.uk

07 May 2009

Our Ref: LAC VT 3323-3-8
Your Ref: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF and
APP/K2420/A/09/2101955/NWF

Dear Sirs

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
APPEALS BY GRAHAM WRAGG
SITE AT COMMON FARM, BARTON ROAD, CARLTON, NUNEATON, CV13 0RL

We attach a copy of the Appellants Rule 6 Statement in respect of appeal reference APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF.

We would ask you to note that this letter and attachment has been copied to the local planning authority. Hard copies will follow to both parties in the post.

We trust you will contact Louise Cotter of this office if any queries arise.

Yours faithfully

MARRONS

cc Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council
James Botterill
Mike Coles

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
12 MAY 2009
RECEIVED

APPEAL BY TRAFFORD KNITWEAR AGAINST THE REFUSAL BY HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL OF A PLANNING APPLICATION IN RELATION TO LAND AT COMMON FARM CARLTON LEICESTERSHIRE

PRE-INQUIRY STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

Appellants Ref: LAC/3323-3-8

PINS Ref: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF

LPA Ref: 08/00978/FUL

Marrons
Solicitors
1 Meridian South
Meridian Business Park
Leicester
LE19 1WY
Telephone: 0116 289 2200
Facsimile: 0116 289 3733
DX: 710910 Leicester Meridian

C:\Documents And Settings\Zzfscconvservice\Local Settings\Application Data\Fabasoft\DOCDIR\{Services}\W3WP.FSC\Mimeattach7986.Bin

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The appeal is in respect of the refusal by Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council ("the Council") of an application for the reinstatement of Barn C ("the Application") at Common Farm Carlton ("the Application Site"). The Application was made on 9 October 2008 and refused by decision notice dated 24 November 2008 for the following reasons:

1. *"The proposed development represents a substantial amount of re-building to Barn 2 that would be tantamount to the building of a new dwelling in the countryside. The proposed development is therefore contrary to government guidance in Planning Policy Statement 7 (Sustainable Development in Rural Areas), the Regional Spatial Strategy for the East Midlands (RSS8), Policies BE1, BE20 and NE5 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan and the Borough Council's adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance on the Conversion of Rural Buildings.*

2. *The approval of this proposal would set a precedent for the consideration of further applications of a similar nature, to which the Local Planning Authority would also object but which would consequently be difficult to resist, it would therefore be contrary to the aims of policy BE1 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan."*

2. THE APPLICATION SITE

2.1 The Application Site forms part of an area of comprehensive redevelopment of a complex of buildings at Common Farm through the conversion of other redundant and decaying barns to residential and employment use and the refurbishment of the Common Farm farmhouse.

2.2 The conversion of Barn C to residential was permitted in July 2005 as

part of the comprehensive redevelopment of the Site; as such the principle of the residential conversion of Barn C has already been established.

2.3 The redevelopment of the Common Farm site is now nearing completion save for Barn C. Barn C lies at the "heart" of the Site.

2.4 The Application Site and its surroundings will be described in detail in the Appellant's evidence.

3. THE APPLICATION

3.1 The Application comprises:

- Original planning application form
- Site Ownership Certificate and Agricultural Holdings Certificate
- Site location plan showing red and blue lines
- Design and Access Statement
- Supporting Statement
- Drawings:
  - 5960A-01
  - 5960C-06D
  - 5960P – Location Plan
  - 5960B-B-C-Floor Layout (A)
  - 5960P-B-C-Elevations (A)

- 5960P-B-C-Elevations (A)
- Structural Inspection Report prepared by The Diamond Wood Partnership

3.2 The Application will be described in detail in the evidence to be produced.

4. SUBMISSIONS

4.1 The Appellant will respond to the reasons for refusal and in particular will adduce evidence to demonstrate that the appeal proposals accord

with and thus will not demonstrably harm the aims and objectives of policies BE1, BE20 and NE5 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Local Plan or adopted SPG on the Conversion of Rural Buildings. Furthermore, it will be demonstrated that the proposal would have no adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area.

4.2 The proposed development accords with the relevant objectives set out in PPS7 (Sustainable Development in the Rural Areas) with regard to the re-use and replacement of buildings in the countryside and countryside protection.

4.3 In particular the Appellant will contend:

- The reinstatement of Barn C as now proposed would be of exactly the same scale, height, appearance, materials, footprint and elevational treatment as previously approved and accordingly its impact on relevant interests of acknowledged importance is no different from the conversion of this building permitted by the previous planning permission in all respects. As such, the appeal proposals as they relate to the reinstatement of Barn C would have no different impact on interests of acknowledged importance to that approved in 2005. Further, by permitting the appeal proposals, this will allow the historic layout of the Common Farm site to be retained.

- The current application represents a betterment to the previously approved permission because the appeal proposals amend the access approved in 2005 to enable the development as a whole to utilise the existing driveway from Barton Road rather than build the access approved in 2005 which extends into the countryside by wrapping around the farmhouse to the north. This represents a significant benefit to the appearance of the countryside and is supported by the highway authority.

4.4 It is therefore a matter of fact there is no identifiable difference between the reinstating Barn C as currently proposed and the original permission granted in 2005.

4.5 The circumstances giving rise to the appeal proposal will be described.

4.6 In the light of the particular factors in this matter it will be submitted that the approval of the Application would not create a form of precedent for the future, given that each planning application must be determined on its own merits.

5. DOCUMENTATION

5.1 The following is a list of documents that may be referred to or put in evidence at the inquiry and the Appellants may refer to the following documentation:-

i. All relevant planning policy guidance notes and circular guidance

ii. The planning application, supporting background documentation and consultation responses

iii. Correspondence between Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council and the appellant's agent

iv. Relevant development plan policies

v. Relevant case law.

vi. Any other relevant documentation

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 It will be contended that the Council have applied a narrow interpretation of countryside policy, at the expense of balancing reasonably other relevant material considerations. Given that the impact of Barn C is no different to that originally permitted and that therefore the building would have no additional impact from that

previously permitted, taken together with the significant planning advantage of amended access proposals that result in the reduction in the overall scale of the building footprint of the development, and in the absence of any other material considerations indicating otherwise, it is irrational in planning grounds to conclude that harm arises to the planning policy objectives.

MARRONS

1, Meridian South, Meridian Business Park, Leicester LE19 1WY.
Tel: 0116 289 2200 Fax: 0116 289 3733 DX 710910 Leicester Meridian www.marrons.net

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
12 MAY 2009
RECEIVED

The Planning Inspectorate
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN
BY E-MAIL AND POST: teamp2@pins.gsi.gov.uk

RECEIVED
08 MAY 2009
SCREENING AND SCANNING TEAM

07 May 2009

Our Ref: LAC VT 3323-3-8
Your Ref: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF and
APP/K2420/A/09/2101955/NWF

Dear Sirs

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
APPEALS BY GRAHAM WRAGG
SITE AT COMMON FARM, BARTON ROAD, CARLTON, NUNEATON, CV13 0RL

We attach a copy of the Appellants Rule 6 Statement in respect of appeal reference APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF.

We would ask you to note that this letter and attachment has been copied to the local planning authority. Hard copies will follow to both parties in the post.

We trust you will contact Louise Cotter of this office if any queries arise.

Yours faithfully

Marrons

MARRONS

cc Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council
James Botterill
Mike Coles

PARTNERS: Peter Marron Ltd, Kevin Sumner Ltd, John Edmond Ltd, Simon Stanion Ltd; Nicholas Robinson Ltd, Morag Thomson Ltd, Louise Mee Ltd
ASSOCIATES: Phillip Partridge (Legal Executive), Emma Tattersdill, Justin Price-Jones
PRINCIPAL TOWN PLANNER: David Prichard
The partners are corporate partners wholly owned by solicitors and the firm is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority
Lexcel approved

DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES

1 2 MAY 2009

RECEIVED

APPEAL BY TRAFFORD KNITWEAR AGAINST THE REFUSAL BY HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL OF A PLANNING APPLICATION IN RELATION TO LAND AT COMMON FARM CARLTON LEICESTERSHIRE

PRE-INQUIRY STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT

Appellants Ref: LAC/3323-3-8

PINS Ref: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF

LPA Ref: 08/00978/FUL

Marrons
Solicitors
1 Meridian South
Meridian Business Park
Leicester
LE19 1WY
Telephone: 0116 289 2200
Facsimile: 0116 289 3733
DX: 710910 Leicester Meridian

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

1 2 MAY 2009

RECEIVED

MARRONS

1, Meridian South, Meridian Business Park, Leicester LE19 1WY
Tel: 0116 289 2200 Fax: 0116 289 3733 DX 710910 Leicester Meridian www.marrons.net

The Planning Inspectorate
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN
BY E-MAIL: Stephen.bartle@pins.gsi.gov.uk

29 April 2009

Our Ref: LAC VT 3323-3-8
Your Ref: APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF and APP/K2420/A/09/2101955/NWF

Dear Sirs

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
APPEALS BY GRAHAM WRAGG
SITE AT COMMON FARM, BARTON ROAD, CARLTON, NUNEATON, CV13 0RL

We refer to your letter of 23 April.

We would ask you to note that this inquiry, in the opinion of the appellants, is likely to last more than 1 day. We would be grateful if you would confirm that this can therefore be listed for 2 days. This is due to the number of witnesses being called.

We would ask you to note that this letter has been sent to the local planning authority.

I look forward to receiving confirmation as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully

MARRONS

cc Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council

PARTNERS: Peter Marron Ltd, Kevin Sumner Ltd, John Edmond Ltd, Simon Stanton Ltd, Nicholas Robinson Ltd, Morag Thomson Ltd, Louise Mee Ltd
ASSOCIATES: Philip Partridge (Legal Executive), Emma Tattersall, Justin Price-Jones
PRINCIPAL TOWN PLANNER: David Prichard
The partners are corporate partners wholly owned by solicitors and the firm is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.
Lexcel approved

Meridian South, Meridian Business Park, Leicester LE19 1WY
< 710910 LEICESTER MERIDIAN
t : 0116 289 2200 Fax : 0116 289 3733 www.merrons.net

To LPA 6/5

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
12 MAY 2009
RECEIVED

Howland, Jenny

From: Cowdery, Simone on behalf of Bartle, Stephen
Sent: 29 April 2009 13:07
To: Howland, Jenny
Cc: Team P2
Subject: FW: Common Farm Carlton APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF and APP/K2420/A/09/2101955/NWF

Hi Jenny

Please see attachment from the agent regarding duration.

Thanks

Simone

From: Louise Cotter [mailto:louisecotter@marrons.net]
Sent: 29 April 2009 10:18
To: Bartle, Stephen
Cc: alison.white@hinckley-bosworth.gov.uk
Subject: Common Farm Carlton APP/K2420/A/09/2099681/NWF and APP/K2420/A/09/2101955/NWF

Please see attached

The partners are corporate partners wholly owned by solicitors and the firm is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

Partners: Peter Marron Ltd (company no 04960803), Kevin Sumner Ltd (company no 04960853), John Edmond Ltd (company no 04960000), Nicholas Robinson Ltd (company no 04960015), Morag Thomson Ltd (company no 04960097), Simon Stanion Ltd (company no 04960084), Louise Mee Ltd (company no 04964164). Each company is registered in England with the registered office address above.

This email and the information it contains are confidential and may be privileged and copyright protected. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately and you should not copy it for any purpose, or disclose its contents to any other person. Internet communications are not secure and therefore Marrons does not accept any legal responsibility for the contents of this message as it has been transmitted over a public network. If you suspect the message may have been intercepted or amended, please call the sender.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2007/11/0032.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

previously permitted, taken together with the significant planning advantage of amended access proposals that result in the reduction in the overall scale of the building footprint of the development, and in the absence of any other material considerations indicating otherwise, it is irrational in planning grounds to conclude that harm arises to the planning policy objectives.

4.4 It is therefore a matter of fact there is no identifiable difference between the reinstating Barn C as currently proposed and the original permission granted in 2005.

4.5 The circumstances giving rise to the appeal proposal will be described.

4.6 In the light of the particular factors in this matter it will be submitted that the approval of the Application would not create a form of precedent for the future, given that each planning application must be determined on its own merits.

5. DOCUMENTATION

5.1 The following is a list of documents that may be referred to or put in evidence at the inquiry and the Appellants may refer to the following documentation:-

i. All relevant planning policy guidance notes and circular guidance

ii. The planning application, supporting background documentation and consultation responses

iii. Correspondence between Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council and the appellant's agent

iv. Relevant development plan policies

v. Relevant case law.

vi. Any other relevant documentation

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 It will be contended that the Council have applied a narrow interpretation of countryside policy, at the expense of balancing reasonably other relevant material considerations. Given that the impact of Barn C is no different to that originally permitted and that therefore the building would have no additional impact from that

with and thus will not demonstrably harm the aims and objectives of policies BE1, BE20 and NE5 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Local Plan or adopted SPG on the Conversion of Rural Buildings. Furthermore, it will be demonstrated that the proposal would have no adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area.

4.2 The proposed development accords with the relevant objectives set out in PPS7 (Sustainable Development in the Rural Areas) with regard to the re-use and replacement of buildings in the countryside and countryside protection.

4.3 In particular the Appellant will contend:

- The reinstatement of Barn C as now proposed would be of exactly the same scale, height, appearance, materials, footprint and elevational treatment as previously approved and accordingly its impact on relevant interests of acknowledged importance is no different from the conversion of this building permitted by the previous planning permission in all respects. As such, the appeal proposals as they relate to the reinstatement of Barn C would have no different impact on interests of acknowledged importance to that approved in 2005. Further, by permitting the appeal proposals, this will allow the historic layout of the Common Farm site to be retained.

- The current application represents a betterment to the previously approved permission because the appeal proposals amend the access approved in 2005 to enable the development as a whole to utilise the existing driveway from Barton Road rather than build the access approved in 2005 which extends into the countryside by wrapping around the farmhouse to the north. This represents a significant benefit to the appearance of the countryside and is supported by the highway authority.

part of the comprehensive redevelopment of the Site; as such the principle of the residential conversion of Barn C has already been established.

2.3 The redevelopment of the Common Farm site is now nearing completion save for Barn C. Barn C lies at the "heart" of the Site.

2.4 The Application Site and its surroundings will be described in detail in the Appellant's evidence.

3. THE APPLICATION

3.1 The Application comprises:

- Original planning application form
- Site Ownership Certificate and Agricultural Holdings Certificate
- Site location plan showing red and blue lines
- Design and Access Statement
- Supporting Statement
- Drawings:
  - 5960A-01
  - 5960C-06D
  - 5960P – Location Plan
  - 5960B-B-C-Floor Layout (A)
  - 5960P-B-C-Elevations (A)

- 5960P-B-C-Elevations (A)
- Structural Inspection Report prepared by The Diamond Wood Partnership

3.2 The Application will be described in detail in the evidence to be produced.

4. SUBMISSIONS

4.1 The Appellant will respond to the reasons for refusal and in particular will adduce evidence to demonstrate that the appeal proposals accord

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The appeal is in respect of the refusal by Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council ("the Council") of an application for the reinstatement of Barn C ("the Application") at Common Farm Carlton ("the Application Site"). The Application was made on 9 October 2008 and refused by decision notice dated 24 November 2008 for the following reasons:

1. *"The proposed development represents a substantial amount of re-building to Barn 2 that would be tantamount to the building of a new dwelling in the countryside. The proposed development is therefore contrary to government guidance in Planning Policy Statement 7 (Sustainable Development in Rural Areas), the Regional Spatial Strategy for the East Midlands (RSS8), Policies BE1, BE20 and NE5 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan and the Borough Council's adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance on the Conversion of Rural Buildings.*

2. *The approval of this proposal would set a precedent for the consideration of further applications of a similar nature, to which the Local Planning Authority would also object but which would consequently be difficult to resist, it would therefore be contrary to the aims of policy BE1 of the adopted Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan."*

2. THE APPLICATION SITE

2.1 The Application Site forms part of an area of comprehensive redevelopment of a complex of buildings at Common Farm through the conversion of other redundant and decaying barns to residential and employment use and the refurbishment of the Common Farm farmhouse.

2.2 The conversion of Barn C to residential was permitted in July 2005 as