Tracy
From

Councillor Rita
Aldridge

Cathy - I would suggest we respond by saying comments noted
Tracy

Mr W. H. Dawson
No 2 The Mews
Barton Road
Market Bosworth
Warks.
CV13 0LQ

Senior Planning Officer
Hinckley & Bosworth Borou
Council Offices
Argents Mead
Hinckley
LE10 1RZ

4th July 2008

Dear Madam,

Re:- Planning Application, Nailstone Road, Barton-in-the-Beans

Regarding the above application, I have the following comments. I am sure you are aware that until comparatively recently the method of providing secure storage on a building site, or in a builders yard, was by the provision of small timber sectionable site huts. These were easy to erect, to dismantle and easy to convey to the next site, or back to the builders yard where it would be used for temporary accommodation until needed on the next site. Unfortunately, as I am also sure you know, vandalism and "break-ins"spread on to building sites and builders yards. This clearly demonstrated that the wooden site hut was no longer secure enough, with one major result that the cost of Insurance cover increased dramatically and in fact many insurance companies were reluctant to provide cover for the wooden huts. The use of container units changed all that as they provided a much more secure and effective storage unit both on the site and in the builders yard and I have been forced to accept this method of storage hence the container units, which I own, being used in my yard.

As to the question of the number of skips in the yard, these are owned by a firm who have been using them to remove surplus soil and other materials from my yard and my field adjacent to my yard. Your officers were aware of this taking place, our conversations with Ms. Kathy Horton refers. The majority of the skips have now been removed from the yard apart from the ones which are still being used for removing materials.

In relation to the statement that I was allowing my premises to store stolen goods, I would say this. Firstly, I strongly object to such a statement, which is totally untrue, being made and I will be considering this matter further. The facts are this. Some three years ago part of the yard was let to a person for the storage of building material. After some months I was contacted by the police who informed me that they knew of the person who was renting the premises and they suspected that he may be storing stolen goods there, further, that they proposed to carry out a raid on the premises he rented. I

co-operated fully with the police in this matter who later told me that as a result of their investigations a person had been charged and convicted and that most of the material they found there was returned to the rightful owners. To say the least, I was very angry to know that my premises had been used for such a purpose.

As to the question of the yard being "macadammed" and possible flooding. I have to say that the whole of the surface area of the yard and the road to it is porous and has been for the last fifty five years. All rainwater is absorbed as it falls but if surface water drainage is ever needed there is a ditch adjacent to my boundary and also a storm sewer running hard by the entrance to the yard which could easily be utilised. I propose to continue with this method for the disposal of surface water.

I hope that this goes someway to clarify your concerns and assure you that I will continue to co-operate with you in any way possible to come to an acceptable conclusion to this matter.

Yours faithfully

W. H. Dawson



The garages have never been used by anyone in connection with a car recovery business. Vehicles and replacement parts, to service and maintain 4 commercial lorries and the cars, motor cycles owned by the employees have been stored and fitted in the garages(Nissan huts) from the date of my planning permission granted in 1953 and this is the first occasion when this activity has been challenged, this was also the situation before the adjacent bungalows were built.

With reference to the landscaping scheme,we asked for advice from your planning department prior to submitting the application and this form of planting was suggested.

48a ELMDALE RD
EARL SHILTON

To whom this may concern.

I am writing to you on behalf of the people which use the yard at Barton in the beans.

In response to the allegations made the yard is only used for storage of classic cars and parts which is for private use only. This is to remove them from highways outside of our properties. We have not at anytime used the premises for car recovery or commercial use. The lorry in question is only used for private purposes and is used as daily transport to my full time employment.

As far the storage of stolen goods/cars this allegation is totally unfounded. We understand that the units have been present for some 52 years we have used them for the past three years for the present purpose without any complaints from the surrounding neighbours and residents.

Yours faithfully



MARK WOODWARD

Stolen goods in units prior to present people having any involvement.

Mr W. H. Dawson
No 2 The Mews
Barton Road
Market Bosworth
Warks.
CV13 0LQ

Senior Planning Officer
Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council
Council Offices
Argents Mead
Hinckley
LE10 1RZ

4th July 2008

Dear Madam,

Re:- Planning Application, Nailstone Road, Barton-in-the-Beans

Regarding the above application, I have the following comments. I am sure you are aware that until comparatively recently the method of providing secure storage on a building site, or in a builders yard, was by the provision of small timber sectionable site huts. These were easy to erect, to dismantle and easy to convey to the next site, or back to the builders yard where it would be used for temporary accommodation until needed on the next site. Unfortunately, as I am also sure you know, vandalism and "break-ins"spread on to building sites and builders yards. This clearly demonstrated that the wooden site hut was no longer secure enough, with one major result that the cost of Insurance cover increased dramatically and in fact many insurance companies were reluctant to provide cover for the wooden huts. The use of container units changed all that as they provided a much more secure and effective storage unit both on the site and in the builders yard and I have been forced to accept this method of storage hence the container units, which I own, being used in my yard.

As to the question of the number of skips in the yard, these are owned by a firm who have been using them to remove surplus soil and other materials from my yard and my field adjacent to my yard. Your officers were aware of this taking place, our conversations with Ms. Kathy Horton refers. The majority of the skips have now been removed from the yard apart from the ones which are still being used for removing materials.

In relation to the statement that I was allowing my premises to store stolen goods, I would say this. Firstly, I strongly object to such a statement, which is totally untrue, being made and I will be considering this matter further. The facts are this. Some three years ago part of the yard was let to a person for the storage of building material. After some months I was contacted by the police who informed me that they knew of the person who was renting the premises and they suspected that he may be storing stolen goods there, further, that they proposed to carry out a raid on the premises he rented. I

co-operated fully with the police in this matter who later told me that as a result of their investigations a person had been charged and convicted and that most of the material they found there was returned to the rightful owners. To say the least, I was very angry to know that my premises had been used for such a purpose.

As to the question of the yard being "macadammed" and possible flooding. I have to say that the whole of the surface area of the yard and the road to it is porous and has been for the last fifty five years. All rainwater is absorbed as it falls but if surface water drainage is ever needed there is a ditch adjacent to my boundary and also a storm sewer running hard by the entrance to the yard which could easily be utilised. I propose to continue with this method for the disposal of surface water.

I hope that this goes someway to clarify your concerns and assure you that I will continue to co-operate with you in any way possible to come to an acceptable conclusion to this matter.

Yours faithfully

W. H. Dawson



The garages have never been used by anyone in connection with a car recovery business. Vehicles and replacement parts, to service and maintain 4 commercial lorries and the cars, motor cycles owned by the employees have been stored and fitted in the garages(Nissan huts) from the date of my planning permission granted in 1953 and this is the first occasion when this activity has been challenged, this was also the situation before the adjacent bungalows were built.

With reference to the landscaping scheme,we asked for advice from your planning department prior to submitting the application and this form of planting was suggested.

48a ELMDALE R
EARL SHILTON

To whom this may concern.

I am writing to you on behalf of the people which use the yard at Barton in the beans.

In response to the allegations made the yard is only used for storage of classic cars and parts which is for private use only. This is to remove them from highways outside of our properties. We have not at anytime used the premises for car recovery or commercial use. The lorry in question is only used for private purposes and is used as daily transport to my full time employment.

As far the storage of stolen goods/cars this allegation is totally unfounded. We understand that the units have been present for some 52 years we have used them for the past three years for the present purpose without any complaints from the surrounding neighbours and residents.

Yours faithfully

MARK WOODWARD

Stolen goods in units prior to present people having any involvement.