



# ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT

## **SITE LOCATION**

The Old Rectory,  
Market Bosworth

## **ISSUE DATE**

20.12.2023

## **SEED REF**

1535-AMS-V1-A

## **CLIENT**

Witherslack Group

ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANCY

[SEED-ARB.CO.UK](http://SEED-ARB.CO.UK)

## DOCUMENT CONTROL

| Date       | Author                             | Checked | Revision |
|------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 20.12.2023 | Sam Selwyn <i>Dip Arb L4 (abc)</i> | SH      | Rev A    |
|            |                                    |         |          |
|            |                                    |         |          |

## COPYRIGHT ©

This report, all plans, illustrations, and other associated material remains the property of SEED Arboriculture Ltd until paid for in full. Copyright and intellectual property rights remain with SEED Arboriculture Ltd.

## LIMITATIONS

The contents of this report are valid at the time of writing. SEED Arboriculture Ltd shall not be liable for any use of this report other than for the purposes for which it was produced. Owing to the dynamic nature of trees, this report is valid for a period of 12 months.

Any alteration to the application site or development proposals could change the current circumstances and may invalidate this report and any recommendations made.

The tree survey was a preliminary assessment from ground level and observations were made solely from visual inspection for the purposes of an assessment relevant to planning and development. This report is not a tree risk assessment and should not be construed as such. While every attempt has been made to provide a realistic and accurate assessment of the trees' condition at the time of inspection, it may have not been appropriate, or possible, to view all parts or all sides of every tree to fulfil the assessment criteria of a tree risk assessment.

This is not an ecological report. The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the Conservation of Species and Habitat Regulations 2017 make it an offence to disturb nesting birds or recklessly endanger a bat or its roost. Where the presence of birds or bats is suspected, a qualified ecologist or Natural England should be contacted for advice.



## Contents

|                                    |    |
|------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction.....               | 3  |
| 2. Planning History .....          | 4  |
| 3. Arboricultural Supervision..... | 5  |
| 4. Method Statement.....           | 8  |
| 5. Tree Protection .....           | 9  |
| 6. References.....                 | 11 |

## Appendices

|                   |                                          |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
| <b>Appendix 1</b> | Tree Protection Plan & Tree Schedule     |
| <b>Appendix 2</b> | Tree Protection Specification            |
| <b>Appendix 3</b> | Greenfix Geoweb installation methodology |
| <b>Appendix 4</b> | Supervision Record Sheet                 |

## Plans

### Tree Protection Plan

Ref: 1535-TPP-003-C

Revision: C

# 1. Introduction

## Background & Instruction

- 1.1.1. This report has been prepared by Sam Selwyn *Dip Arb L4 (abc), TechArborA*. Sam is a Technical Member of the Arboricultural Association (AA) and is therefore required to uphold the professional and ethical standards within the AA Code of Conduct. Sam holds the LANTRA certificate in Professional Tree Inspection.
- 1.1.2. Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council granted planning permission on 15 December 2023 for “Change of use of the Old Rectory from a dwellinghouse (Class C3) to a Specialist Education Needs (SEN) School (Class F1), erection of two single storey classrooms, a Multi-Use Gaming Area (MUGA), revised parking layout with electric charging points, widening of access, replacement perimeter fencing and external landscaping, works to trees and other works.” (app ref: 23/00667/FUL).
- 1.1.3. This Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) has been prepared by SEED Arboriculture Ltd to satisfy planning condition no.7 (Arboricultural Method Statement).

## Reference Documents

- 1.1.4. **Table 1** provides a summary of documents used to produce this AMS.

*Table 1 - Reference Documents*

| Document                                                    | Reference Number      | Prepared By           | Date           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| <b>Topographical Survey</b>                                 | PMS230032             | PMS Surveys Ltd       | March 2023     |
| <b>Classrooms &amp; Therapy Building Foundation Details</b> | TC/T21131/23/200      | Thomas Consulting Ltd | September 2023 |
| <b>Surfacing Proposals</b>                                  | TC / T21130/ 23 / 120 | Thomas Consulting Ltd | September 2023 |

## 2. Planning History

### Planning Application

- 2.1.1. Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council granted planning permission on 15 December 2023 for “Change of use of the Old Rectory from a dwellinghouse (Class C3) to a Specialist Education Needs (SEN) School (Class F1), erection of two single storey classrooms, a Multi-Use Gaming Area (MUGA), revised parking layout with electric charging points, widening of access, replacement perimeter fencing and external landscaping, works to trees and other works.” (app ref:23/00667/FUL).
- 2.1.2. This AMS and the associated documents address the relevant planning condition of the Approved Development which relates to trees.

#### Condition 7:- Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)

*Prior to the commencement of the development, an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) should be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. The Method Statement should identify:*

- *Individual responsibilities and key personnel*
- *Statement of delegated powers*
- *Timing and methods of site visiting and recording keeping, including updates.*
- *Procedures for dealing with variations and incidents.*

*The development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved AMS.*

### 3. Arboricultural Supervision

- 3.1.1. To ensure that all tree protection measures are correctly implemented, and no foreseeable damage occurs to retained trees, a scheme for arboricultural supervision and a process for monitoring and reporting has been set out within this AMS.
- 3.1.2. All elements of the arboricultural supervision will be undertaken by the retained arboricultural clerk of works (ACoW). The ACoW will be a suitably qualified arboriculturist from SEED Arboriculture Ltd.

#### Key Personnel

*Table 2 – Key personnel involved with the construction.*

| Role                                           | Relevant party                        |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Client</b>                                  | Witherslack Group                     |
| <b>Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW)</b>    | SEED Arboriculture Ltd                |
| <b>Local Planning Authority representative</b> | Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council |
| <b>Main Contractor</b>                         | TBC                                   |

#### Scheme of arboricultural supervision

- 3.1.3. Based on the provisional timings for the stages of development available at this time, a framework for site supervision has been provided in **Table 3**.
- 3.1.4. Details of any required variation of the supervision scheme will be reported to the Local Planning Authority by the Project Arboriculturist and agreed in writing where required.
- 3.1.5. There will be a phased approach to implementation of the Approved Development and current project timetables are available for the works associated with the construction of the proposed building and the associated landscaping within the Phase 1 boundary.
- 3.1.6. Timescales and further details for Tree Protection for later phases will be provided in line with the process outlined in this AMS.

Table 3– Arboricultural supervision scheme

| <b>STAGE - Construction</b><br><b>Est. Start Date – TBC subject to construction schedule</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Operation</b>                                                                             | <b>Details</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <b>Date</b>                      |
| <b>Initial Pre-commencement meeting</b>                                                      | <p>Initial pre-commencement meeting with key personnel responsible for implementation of development and tree protection.</p> <p>Personnel: Client, Site Manager, Main Contractor, Project Arboriculturist and Local Authority Tree Officer.</p> <p>Agree locations of Tree Protection Fencing as required for commencement of development.</p> <p>Agree extent of facilitation tree removal and tree pruning as required for demolition / remediation phase of development.</p> <p>Spray marking of trees / groups for removal in accordance with approved plans.</p> <p>Only trees requiring removal / pruning for demolition / remediation work to be removed as part of this operation.</p> | TBC as per construction schedule |
| <b>Monitoring of specific operations</b>                                                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitoring of installation of hard-surfacing within RPAs and installation of cellular confinement Tree Root Protection.</li> <li>Monitoring of installation of ground screws / raft foundation for external classroom buildings.</li> </ul> <p>Personnel: Site Manager, Main Contractor, Project Arboriculturist</p> <p>Set out plan for work being undertaken within RPAs, in accordance with this AMS.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | TBC as per construction schedule |
| <b>Monitoring Visit</b>                                                                      | <p>Monitoring and progress update visit.</p> <p>Personnel: Client, Site Manager, Main Contractor, Project Arboriculturist</p> <p>Check installation Tree Protection Fencing.</p> <p>Discuss progress, incidents, queries and any variations to project schedule with project team.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | TBC as per construction schedule |

### **Supervision recording**

- 3.1.7. Following each supervision visit, a supervision record sheet will be completed by the Project Arboriculturist. A copy of the supervision sheet will be issued to the site manager and other parties as required and retained by the project arboriculturist.
- 3.1.8. Details of the operations, development progress, observations and any reported issues will be recorded and where required recommendations will be made for remedial work or any required amendments to the tree protection and/or methods set out within this AMS.
- 3.1.9. During supervision visits the Project Arboriculturist will take photographs as required to provide additional evidence of the implementation of tree protection measures.
- 3.1.10. The record sheets and photographs will provide an auditable trail of evidence that all required tree protection measures have been adhered to. Record sheets will be provided to the Local Planning Authority as required.
- 3.1.11. A pro-forma record sheet is attached at **Appendix 4**.

### **Reporting process**

- 3.1.12. The reporting process set out here should be adhered to when possible to enable quick and effective communication of matters relating to tree protection and the implementation of tree protection measures.
- 3.1.13. The Site manager will be responsible for reporting any queries or incidents relating to trees to the Project Arboriculturist.
- 3.1.14. The Project Arboriculturist will contact the Tree Officer at the Local Planning Authority to discuss any issues or agree amendments to the tree protection measures set out within this AMS.
- 3.1.15. Any variation of the Approved Development which may impact upon trees should be reported to the Project Arboriculturist.
- 3.1.16. Any damage to the stem, branches or roots of any retained tree should be reported to the Project Arboriculturist. Where required, recommendations for remedial work will be made and reported to the Tree Officer.

## 4. Method Statement

### External classroom foundations

- 4.1.1. Two options are being explored for the foundation solution. Both options provide an acceptable solution for construction of the buildings within the RPAs, as per the Approved Development.

#### *Raft Foundation*

- 4.1.2. Detailed designs for a raft foundation have been provided by Thomas Consulting Ltd for the construction of the GA classroom and GA therapy building which specifies supporting a raft foundation.
- 4.1.3. The specification details that the raft will sit on lightweight hardcore fill, placed on 150mm Tree Root Protection system (Greenfix Geoweb or similar alternative).
- 4.1.4. In accordance with the scheme of Arboricultural supervision the ACoW will be on site during the initial works to form the base for the raft foundation.

#### *Ground screw foundations*

- 4.1.5. Ground screws with a suspended timber floor are being explored as an alternative and preferred option. The feasibility of this option is currently being reviewed.
- 4.1.6. Installation of ground screws within the RPA should be undertaken under the direct supervision of the Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW).
- 4.1.7. Where roots are identified, these will be pruned back, making a clean cut with a suitable sharp tool (e.g. bypass secateurs or handsaw) as per the recommendations in Paragraph 7.2.2 of BS5837:2012.

### Tree Root Protection (Geoweb)

- 4.1.8. The location of this Tree Root Protection is shown on the Tree Protection Plan at **Appendix 1**.
- 4.1.9. The Tree Root Protection will be utilised in several areas, including:
- **Car parking bays**- 100mm Geoweb will be installed as the subbase for the car parking bay. The top surface will be a 40mm permeable paver with gravel infill. 100mm Geoweb is suitable for vehicles up to 6t Gross Weight.
  - **Footpaths**- 75mm Geoweb will be installed as the subbase of the footpaths. This depth is suitable for pedestrian foot traffic and cycleways (up to 1t gross weight).
  - **MUGA**- The construction requires 150mm staggered layers of Geoweb infilled with lightweight aggregate integrating into the capping.
  - **New accessway construction**- 150mm Geoweb (suitable for 30t Gross Weight).
  - Access road widening- 150mm Geoweb.
- 4.1.10. In accordance with the scheme of Arboricultural supervision the ACoW will be on site during the installation of the cell web.
- 4.1.11. The manufacturers recommended method statement for installation of the system can be found at **Appendix 3**.

## 5. Tree Protection

### Tree Protection Fencing

- 5.1.1. The principal protection for the retained trees (above and below ground) and associated soils within the Site is through the erection of Tree Protection Fencing (TPF) to create a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ). The CEZ will be maintained throughout development, no access will be allowed to the area other than for operations specified in this AMS document or those agreed with the Council later.
- 5.1.2. The positioning of the CEZ will be in line with the Tree Protection Plan at **Appendix 1**.
- 5.1.3. Prior to any on-site demolition or construction, tree protective measures and the CEZ must be in place. The tree protection fence/barrier once erected will not be moved or relocated without approval from the Council or PA.
- 5.1.4. The following points are critical to the function of the CEZ:
- The TPF shall be maintained throughout the development phase
  - No materials, machinery, temporary structures, chemicals or fuel shall be stored within the CEZ
  - No excavations or increases in soil level within the CEZ are permitted without prior written approval from the LPA
  - Care should be taken to ensure that wide or tall loads or plant with booms, jibs and counterweights do not come into contact with retained trees. Any transit or traverse of plant in close proximity to trees should be conducted under the supervision of a banks person to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is maintained at all times
  - Material which will contaminate the soil such as concrete mixing, diesel oil and vehicle washing must not be discharged within 10m of the tree stems. In the event of an accident or spillage the PA must be notified
  - Fires must not be lit in a position where their flames can extend to within 5m of foliage, branches or trunk. This will depend on the size of the fire and the wind direction
- 5.1.5. The TPF specification should consist of a vertical and horizontal scaffold framework, well braced to resist impacts, as illustrated in BS5836:2012 Figure 3 (attached at **Appendix 2**). The vertical 2m tall, welded mesh panels on rubber or concrete feet, the panels should be joined using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from within the fence. The distance between fence couplers should be at least 1m and should be uniform throughout the fence. The panels should be supported on the inner side by stabilizer struts, which should be attached to a base plate secured with ground pins.
- 5.1.6. Weatherproof signage will be attached to the fencing with words such as 'Construction Exclusion Zone – No Access' (see signage example at **Appendix 2**).
- 5.1.7. At the end of the project the TPF will be removed only after confirmation by the Project Arboriculturist that this is appropriate.

## Tree Protection

- 5.1.8. Tree Protection Fencing will be erected in the locations illustrated on the Tree Protection Plan (ref: 1535-TPP-003-C- at **Appendix 1**) and a working zone will be established and protected using temporary ground protection as set out later within this AMS.

### Excavation within RPAs

- 5.1.9. Excavation within the RPAs to the depth required will be supervised by the Project Arboriculturist.
- 5.1.10. Excavation within RPAs will be carried out using hand tools only. Limited mechanical excavation may be carried under supervision of the Project Arboriculturist where hand excavation is not possible or practical.
- 5.1.11. As set out in Paragraph 7.2.3 of BS5837:2012, roots smaller than 25 mm diameter may be pruned back, making a clean cut with a suitable sharp tool (e.g. bypass secateurs or handsaw), except where they occur in clumps. Roots occurring in clumps or of 25 mm diameter and over should be severed only following consultation with the Project Arboriculturist, as such roots might be essential to the tree's health and stability.

## Temporary Ground Protection

- 5.1.12. To reduce the likelihood of ground compaction through development there will be a requirement to install temporary ground protection in the locations illustrated on the Tree Protection Plan at Appendix 1.
- 5.1.13. BS5837:2012 - Paragraph 6.2.3.3 recommends that new temporary ground protection should be capable of supporting any traffic entering or using the site without being distorted or causing compaction of underlying soil.
- 5.1.14. The ground protection might comprise one of the following:
- a) For pedestrian movements only, a single thickness of scaffold boards placed either on top of a driven scaffold frame, so as to form a suspended walkway, or on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 100 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
- 5.1.15. For pedestrian-operated plant up to a gross weight of 2t, proprietary, inter-linked ground protection boards placed on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 150 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane.
- 5.1.16. An example specification has been included at **Appendix 2**.

## Post Construction


- 5.1.17. Following completion of the proposed development and removal of tree protection measures, an assessment of the trees should be undertaken to highlight any required remedial work.
- 5.1.18. All remedial tree work should be carried out in accordance with British Standard 3998:2010 'Tree work – Recommendations'.

## 6. References

- 6.1.1. British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendation'
- 6.1.2. British Standard 3998:2010 'Tree work – Recommendations'
- 6.1.3. BS8545:2014 Trees: from nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations
- 6.1.4. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2023
- 6.1.5. The Forestry Act 1967
- 6.1.6. The Town and Country Planning Act 1990
- 6.1.7. The Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation) (England) Regulations 2012.

## **Appendix 1 – Tree Protection Plan & Tree Schedule**





### Tree Protection Fencing

The principal protection for the retained trees (above and below ground) and associated soils within the Site is through the erection of Tree Protection Fencing (TPF) to create a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ).


Prior to any on-site demolition or construction, tree protective measures and the CEZ must be in place. TPF Specification is show in Figure 3 (BS5837:2012) - pictured above.

The following points are critical to the function of the CEZ:

- The protective tree fencing shall be maintained throughout the development phase
- No materials, machinery, temporary structures, chemicals or fuel shall be stored within the CEZ
- No excavations or increases in soil level within the CEZ are permitted without prior written approval from the LPA
- Care should be taken to ensure that wide or tall loads or plant with booms, jibs and counterweights do not come into contact with retained trees. Any transit or traverse of plant in close proximity to trees should be conducted under the supervision of a banks person to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is maintained at all times
- Material which will contaminate the soil such as concrete mixing, diesel oil and vehicle washing must not be discharged within 10m of the tree stems. In the event of an accident or spillage the PA must be notified
- Fires must not be lit in a position where their flames can extend to within 5m of foliage, branches or trunk. This will depend on the size of the fire and the wind direction
- Any landscaping within the CEZ must avoid soil disturbance. Therefore, re-grading and rotavators are not permitted. Any agreed soil re-profiling to facilitate final agreed levels must be carried out by hand with topsoil.

### Tree Protection Fencing - BS5837:2012 Figure 3

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



### TEMPORARY GROUND PROTECTION

During construction there will be a requirement to provide pedestrian access around the building and scaffolding. Where this access enters the RPA of any retained tree, Temporary Ground Protection will be installed as per the recommendation below.

BS5837:2012 - Paragraph 6.2.3.3 New temporary ground protection should be capable of supporting any traffic entering or using the site without being distorted or causing compaction of underlying soil.

NOTE The ground protection might comprise one of the following:

- for pedestrian movements only, a single thickness of scaffold boards placed either on top of a driven scaffold frame, so as to form a suspended walkway, or on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 100 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
- for pedestrian-operated plant up to a gross weight of 2 t, proprietary, inter-linked ground protection boards placed on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 150 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;

**KEY.**

Trees / Groups

- Canopy Spread
- Tree Stem
- Root Protection Area

A Category Tree (High quality / retention value)

B Category Tree (Moderate quality / retention value)

C Category Tree (Low quality / retention value)

U Category Tree (No remaining retention value)

Hedgerows (Not assigned BS5837:2012 category)

### TREE PROTECTION

- Tree Protection Fencing
- Temporary Ground Protection
- 150mm - No dig tree root protection to installed in staggered layers
- 150mm - No dig tree root protection to be tied into existing sub-base for access widening
- 100mm - No dig tree root protection for the construction of permeable car park bays
- 75 mm - No dig tree root protection for the construction of paths in RPAs



| Rev   | Description            | Date       |
|-------|------------------------|------------|
| Rev C | Update tree protection | 20.12.2023 |
| Rev B | Change to tree removal | 04.09.2023 |
| Rev A | Issue to client        | 27.06.2023 |
| Rev   |                        |            |

**SEED**

SEED Arboriculture Ltd  
Suite F6.1, Adelphi Mill, Bollington, Cheshire, SK10 5JB  
www.seed-arb.co.uk

|                                             |                   |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PROJECT<br>The Old Rectory, Market Bosworth |                   |
| TITLE<br>Tree Protection Plan               |                   |
| DRAWING REF<br>1535-TPP-003-C               | DRAWING NO<br>003 |
| SCALE<br>1:200 @ A1                         | REVISION<br>Rev C |

## BS5837:2012 TREE SCHEDULE

**DATE**  
04/09/2023

**CLIENT**  
Witherslack Group

**SITE**  
The Old Rectory, Market Bosworth

**REFERENCE**  
1535-TS-001-B

| Tree No. | Common Name    | Botanical Name                | Height (m) | Stem Dia (mm) | Crown Spread (m) |   |   |   | Height of Crown Clearance (m) | Age Class | Phys Con  | Struc Con | Additional notes                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Preliminary recommendations                   | BS5837 Retention Category | RPA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | RPA Radius (m) |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|          |                |                               |            |               | N                | E | S | W |                               |           |           |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                               |                           |                       |                |
| T1       | Scots pine     | <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>       | 18         | 400           | 4                | 4 | 1 | 1 | 9                             | Dead      | Dead      | Poor      | Standing dead tree on edge of group.                                                                                                                                                                             | Fell for safety reasons.                      | U                         | 72                    | 4.80           |
| T2       | Wych elm       | <i>Ulmus glabra</i>           | 12         | 524           | 7                | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5                             | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Heavily leaning multistemmed tree on edge of tree group.                                                                                                                                                         | No works required at time of survey           | C1, 2                     | 125                   | 6.30           |
| T3       | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 18         | 880           | 7                | 7 | 5 | 5 | 9                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large mature tree on edge of group.                                                                                                                                                                              | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 346                   | 10.50          |
| T4       | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 18         | 700           | 6                | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8                             | Mat       | Good      | Good      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 222                   | 8.40           |
| T5       | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 17         | 500           | 1                | 2 | 7 | 5 | 6                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | Drawn up tree towards edge of group.                                                                                                                                                                             | No works required at time of survey           | C1, 2                     | 113                   | 6.00           |
| T6       | Common lime    | <i>Tilia x europaea</i>       | 16         | 402           | 4                | 2 | 5 | 6 | 5                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | Twin stemmed tree with partial lean west adjacent to neighbouring access track.                                                                                                                                  | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 72                    | 4.80           |
| T7       | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 19         | 822           | 7                | 7 | 5 | 5 | 7                             | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | Large mature tree, multi-stemmed from 1m. Well formed radial canopy.                                                                                                                                             | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 308                   | 9.90           |
| T8       | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 18         | 430           | 3                | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Good      | Tree stands to southern end of group. Well formed radial canopy.                                                                                                                                                 | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 82                    | 5.10           |
| T9       | Common beech   | <i>Fagus sylvatica</i>        | 15         | 530           | 3                | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                | No works required at time of survey           | B2                        | 125                   | 6.30           |
| T10      | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 17         | 350           | 3                | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4                             | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Tree within group, stem partially obscured by ivy. Canopy suppressed to west by adjacent trees.                                                                                                                  | Remove to facilitate proposed development     | C2                        | 55                    | 4.20           |
| T11      | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 17         | 590           | 7                | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6                             | Mat       | Good      | Good      | Mature tree on northern site boundary.                                                                                                                                                                           | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 163                   | 7.20           |
| T12      | Scots pine     | <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>       | 18         | 700           | 5                | 4 | 5 | 3 | 7                             | Mat       | Good      | Good      | Mature tree on site frontage.                                                                                                                                                                                    | No works required at time of survey           | A1, 2                     | 222                   | 8.40           |
| T13      | English holly  | <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>        | 9          | 396           | 2                | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2                             | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | Twin stemmed three within frontage border.                                                                                                                                                                       | No works required at time of survey           | C1, 2                     | 72                    | 4.80           |
| T14      | English holly  | <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>        | 10         | 420           | 2                | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5                           | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | Individual within frontage border.                                                                                                                                                                               | Remove to facilitate proposed development     | C1, 2                     | 82                    | 5.10           |
| T15      | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 18         | 567           | 5                | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                | No works required at time of survey           | B1, 2                     | 150                   | 6.90           |
| T16      | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 17         | 750           | 6                | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large tree on site boundary. Dense ivy obscuring main stem and structural limbs.                                                                                                                                 | Remove ivy to all detailed assessment.        | C1, 2                     | 254                   | 9.00           |
| T17      | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 17         | 1080          | 5                | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large tree on site boundary. Dense ivy obscuring main stem and structural limbs. Large cavity on west side of main stem with internal decay visible, further inspection required to determine future management. | Remove ivy to facilitate detailed assessment. | B1, 2                     | 523                   | 12.90          |
| T18      | European larch | <i>Larix decidua</i>          | 18         | 460           | 6                | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3.5                           | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                | No works required at time of survey           | B1                        | 92                    | 5.40           |
| T19      | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 9          | 450           | 7                | 3 | 4 | 7 | 2.5                           | E/Mat     | Declining | Declining | Extensive bleeding canker infection with bark splitting and staining throughout.                                                                                                                                 | Remove to facilitate proposed development     | U                         | 92                    | 5.40           |
| T20      | Sycamore       | <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>    | 10         | 150           | 3                | 3 | 3 | 2 | 9                             | S/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Slender tree, cavity in upper canopy.                                                                                                                                                                            | No works required at time of survey           | C1, 2                     | 10                    | 1.80           |
| T21      | Scots pine     | <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>       | 21         | 710           | 6                | 6 | 4 | 4 | 12                            | Mat       | Good      | Good      | Large tree adjacent to site boundary.                                                                                                                                                                            | No works required at time of survey           | A1                        | 222                   | 8.40           |

## BS5837:2012 TREE SCHEDULE

**DATE**  
04/09/2023

**CLIENT**  
Witherslack Group

**SITE**  
The Old Rectory, Market Bosworth

**REFERENCE**  
1535-TS-001-B

| Tree No. | Common Name    | Botanical Name                | Height (m) | Stem Dia (mm) | Crown Spread (m) |   |   |   | Height of Crown Clearance (m) | Age Class | Phys Con  | Struc Con | Additional notes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Preliminary recommendations               | BS5837 Retention Category | RPA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | RPA Radius (m) |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|          |                |                               |            |               | N                | E | S | W |                               |           |           |           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                           |                           |                       |                |
| T22      | English holly  | <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>        | 15         | 250           | 3                | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3                             | S/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | No works required at time of survey       | C1, 2                     | 28                    | 3.00           |
| T23      | Scots pine     | <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>       | 16         | 400           | 1                | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8                             | Dead      | Dead      | Poor      | Standing dead tree                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Remove to facilitate proposed development | U                         | 72                    | 4.80           |
| T24      | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 19         | 1000          | 8                | 7 | 2 | 7 | 4                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large horse chestnut on site boundary. Suppressed canopy to south from tree which has previously failed tree.                                                                                                                                                                                                      | No works required at time of survey       | B1, 2                     | 452                   | 12.00          |
| T25      | Yew            | <i>Taxus baccata</i>          | 6          | 200           | 5                | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0.5                           | S/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 18                    | 2.40           |
| T26      | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 8          | 900           | 1                | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3.5                           | Mat       | Poor      | Poor      | Mature tree adjacent to boundary. Previously failed at 7m. One remaining live limb to west. Very limited remaining value.                                                                                                                                                                                          | Remove to facilitate proposed development | U                         | 366                   | 10.80          |
| T27      | Horse chestnut | <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> | 20         | 1100          | 4                | 7 | 7 | 8 | 3                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large mature tree on site boundary. Twin stemmed from 1.5m. Dense ivy partially obscuring main stem. Evidence of bleeding canker throughout, longitudinal bark splits associated with structural limb to east overhanging garden. Tree may benefit from canopy reduction to improve form preventing limb failures. | No works required at time of survey       | B1, 2                     | 547                   | 13.20          |
| T28      | Common ash     | <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>     | 20         | 640           | 10               | 3 | 9 | 8 | 8                             | Mat       | Declining | Declining | Large tree adjacent to building. Inonotus hispidus bracket at 2m. Tight forked union with crossing / rubbing branches. Canopy overhangs building to north. Onset of ash dieback visible within canopy.                                                                                                             | Remove to facilitate proposed development | U                         | 191                   | 7.80           |
| T29      | Common ash     | <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>     | 20         | 600           | 9                | 6 | 7 | 6 | 12                            | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large ash on edge of boundary group. Stem bifurcates at 4m. Ivy obscuring stem. Sparse canopy with indications of ash dieback.                                                                                                                                                                                     | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 163                   | 7.20           |
| T30      | Common ash     | <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>     | 18         | 600           | 2                | 4 | 7 | 7 | 5                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large ash on edge of boundary group. Ivy obscuring stem. Sparse canopy with indications of ash dieback.                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 163                   | 7.20           |
| T31      | Common ash     | <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>     | 18         | 463           | 3                | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Stands on lawn area. Bifurcates at 1m. Sparse canopy with indications of ash dieback.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 102                   | 5.70           |
| T32      | Common apple   | <i>Malus domestica</i>        | 4          | 150           | 3                | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | Small ornamental tree.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1                        | 10                    | 1.80           |
| T33      | Norway spruce  | <i>Picea abies</i>            | 14         | 290           | 4                | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2                             | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | No works required at time of survey       | C1                        | 41                    | 3.60           |
| T34      | Wild cherry    | <i>Prunus avium</i>           | 15         | 460           | 7                | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1                             | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 92                    | 5.40           |
| T35      | Wild cherry    | <i>Prunus avium</i>           | 15         | 430           | 5                | 4 | 1 | 5 | 7                             | Mat       | Fair      | Poor      | Large cavity associated with base and lower stem.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Remove to facilitate proposed development | U                         | 82                    | 5.10           |
| T36      | Wild cherry    | <i>Prunus avium</i>           | 15         | 361           | 5                | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 55                    | 4.20           |

## BS5837:2012 TREE SCHEDULE

**DATE**  
04/09/2023

**CLIENT**  
Witherslack Group

**SITE**  
The Old Rectory, Market Bosworth


**REFERENCE**  
1535-TS-001-B

| Tree No. | Common Name                                 | Botanical Name            | Height (m)     | Stem Dia (mm)     | Crown Spread (m)     |    |   |   | Height of Crown Clearance (m) | Age Class | Phys Con  | Struc Con | Additional notes                                                                                                                                                  | Preliminary recommendations               | BS5837 Retention Category | RPA (m <sup>2</sup> ) | RPA Radius (m) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|          |                                             |                           |                |                   | N                    | E  | S | W |                               |           |           |           |                                                                                                                                                                   |                                           |                           |                       |                |
| T37      | Wild cherry                                 | <i>Prunus avium</i>       | 15             | 560               | 4                    | 10 | 3 | 2 | 7                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Narrow included union at 2m. Canopy biased east.                                                                                                                  | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 137                   | 6.60           |
| T38      | Common ash                                  | <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> | 16             | 340               | 4                    | 3  | 3 | 3 | 8                             | Mat       | Declining | Fair      | Slender drawn up specimen. Evidence of ash dieback within canopy.                                                                                                 | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 55                    | 4.20           |
| T39      | Wild cherry                                 | <i>Prunus avium</i>       | 11             | 274               | 4                    | 1  | 3 | 4 | 4                             | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                 | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C1, 2                     | 34                    | 3.30           |
| T40      | Common ash                                  | <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> | 20             | 730               | 5                    | 7  | 8 | 7 | 7                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Large individual. Minor dieback visible within canopy, generally good with some indications of early ash dieback.                                                 | No works required at time of survey       | B1                        | 238                   | 8.70           |
| T41      | Cedar of Lebanon                            | <i>Cedrus libani</i>      | 25             | 1200              | 6                    | 9  | 7 | 8 | 0                             | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | Large individual with two significant lower limbs to east. Second lower limb has limited remaining canopy due to previous failure. Small cavity to south of base. | No works required at time of survey       | A1                        | 651                   | 14.40          |
| T42      | English holly                               | <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>    | 5              | 180               | 2                    | 2  | 2 | 2 | 1                             | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                 | No works required at time of survey       | C1                        | 14                    | 2.10           |
| T43      | Yew                                         | <i>Taxus baccata</i>      | 8              | 866               | 6                    | 6  | 6 | 6 | 2                             | Mat       | Good      | Good      | -                                                                                                                                                                 | No works required at time of survey       | A1                        | 346                   | 10.50          |
| G1       | Common lime                                 |                           | Ave 19         | Ave 500           | See associated plans |    |   |   | 4                             | Mat       | Good      | Fair      | Line of lime trees. Lapsed coppice growth. Provides good contribution to area.                                                                                    | No works required at time of survey       | B2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G2       | Common lime                                 |                           | Ave 20         | Ave 850           | See associated plans |    |   |   | 5                             | Mat       | Fair      | Fair      | Row of four pollarded lime trees on site boundary. Moderate decay around some pollard unions. Trees will require repollarding or reduction to manage.             | No works required at time of survey       | A1, 2                     | See associated plans  |                |
| G3       | Sycamore, English holly, Cherry laurel, Yew |                           | Min 2 - Max 9  | Min 60 - Max 250  | See associated plans |    |   |   | 0.5                           | S/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Dense scrub and small trees within understorey of group.                                                                                                          | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G4       | Sycamore, English holly                     |                           | Ave 11         | Min 60 - Max 37-  | See associated plans |    |   |   | 1.5                           | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Group of holly trees within overgrown area adjacent to northern boundary. Dense ivy throughout many, provides screening to boundary.                              | No works required at time of survey       | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G5       | English holly                               |                           | Ave 4          | Ave 80            | See associated plans |    |   |   | 0.5                           | S/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Linear group recently cut to 4m, hedge like screen on boundary.                                                                                                   | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G6       | English holly                               |                           | Ave 9          | Ave 150           | See associated plans |    |   |   | 1                             | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Group of small scrubby trees along boundary.                                                                                                                      | No works required at time of survey       | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G7       | Yew                                         |                           | Ave 7          | Min 150 - Max 300 | See associated plans |    |   |   | 1.5                           | E/Mat     | Good      | Fair      | -                                                                                                                                                                 | Remove to facilitate proposed development | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G8       | Sycamore, Common ash, Myrobalan Plum, Yew   |                           | Min 5 - Max 17 | Ave 200           | See associated plans |    |   |   | 0.5                           | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Dense group in southeast corner. Offers good boundary screening.                                                                                                  | No works required at time of survey       | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |
| G9       | Common ash, Cherry laurel                   |                           | Min 4 - Max 15 | Min 140 - Max 350 | See associated plans |    |   |   | 0.5                           | E/Mat     | Fair      | Fair      | Cluster of smaller regeneration ash and Laurel understorey.                                                                                                       | No works required at time of survey       | C2                        | See associated plans  |                |

## Appendix 2 – Tree Protection Specification

### BS5837:2012 – Figure 3

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



## Temporary Ground Protection

### Recommended specification.

**BS5837:2012 - Paragraph 6.2.3.3** - *For pedestrian-operated plant up to a gross weight of 2t, proprietary, inter-linked ground protection boards placed on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 150 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane.*

**Membrane** - TERRAM T1000 Geotextile

**Compression later** – woodchip (provided on-site by tree work contractors) 150mm depth

**Ground Protection Boards** – Ground-Guards MultiTrack 39kg mats

**Edging** – Timber or other similar.

*Image 1 – Ground-Guards temporary tree root protection - example*



# TREE PROTECTION AREA



## NO ACCESS - TREE PROTECTION AREA

- NO MATERIALS, MACHINERY, TEMPORARY STRUCTURES OR CHEMICALS SHALL ENTER OR BE STORED WITHIN THIS AREA
- FENCING WILL NOT BE ALTERED OR MOVED WITHOUT PRIOR AGREEMENT OF THE PROJECT ARBORICULTURIST.



## TREE PROTECTION FENCING

- TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR ARE THE SUBJECTS OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER.
- UNAUTHORISED DAMAGE TO PROTECTED TREES IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE AND COULD LEAD TO ENFORCEMENT ACTION.



ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANTS

For any issues relating to this Tree Protection Fencing or other guidance with any arboricultural matters on this development, please contact **Seed Arboriculture Ltd.**

[www.seed-arb.co.uk](http://www.seed-arb.co.uk)

- [info@seed-arb.co.uk](mailto:info@seed-arb.co.uk)

- 01625 460 252

## **Appendix 3 – Greenfix Geoweb installation methodology**



**PRESTO**



# INSTALLATION GUIDE

■ **simplified**



## GEOWEB® Tree Root Protection






# GEOWEB® Tree Root Protection


- 1 Prepare subgrade. Remove debris, rocks.




- 2 Install TRP4000 geotextile. Overlaps by minimum 300 mm.





- 3 Partially expand GEOWEB® sections.




- 4 Connect GEOWEB® sections with ATRA® keys.



- 5 Connect side to side (A) and end to end (B).



- 6 Fully expand GEOWEB® sections.



## IMPORTANT NOTE:


The simplified installation guide provided by Presto GEOSYSTEMS® is intended as a general guideline only. The contractor should follow contract plans and specifications and refer to detailed installation guidelines for more information.

- 7** Hold sections open. Use Options A, B, C or D.

**A** T-Bars



**B** ATRA® anchors




**C** Wood Stakes



**D** Infill Select Cells




- 8** Infill GEOWEB® cells.




- 9** Spread Infill ensuring a 25 mm overfill at all times.



- 10** If required, use a 4t smooth, non-vibrating roller on overfilled GEOWEB® system. Refill as needed to ensure a 25 mm overfill.



- 11** Surface option ready to install according to specification.



**Greenfix**  
Soil Stabilisation and Erosion Control Specialists

info@greenfix.co.uk  
tel: 01608 666027  
www.greenfix.co.uk

**Geoweb infill material for Tree Root Protection**  
**Clean Angular Stone 4-20mm**

Specification for open graded infill material for Geoweb cellular confinement within tree root protection applications. The no fines material is to ensure high ratio void space which corresponds with ideal soil void ratios for tree root health.

Material to BS EN1342 or BS EN12620. Crushed gravel is not permitted.

| Properties of material                                            | Test        | Value              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Grading of particle size                                          | BS EN 13242 | Grading 4-20mm     |
| Fines Content                                                     | BS EN 13242 | f <sub>8</sub>     |
| Shape flatness index                                              | BS EN 13242 | F <sub>80</sub>    |
| Resistance to fragmentation                                       | BS EN 13242 | LA <sub>10</sub>   |
| Resistance to wear                                                | BS EN 13242 | M <sub>10</sub> 20 |
| Water absorption rate BS EN 1097-6:2000 clause 7                  | BS EN 13242 | WA <sub>2</sub> 2  |
| For typical WA > 2% magnesium sulphate soundness                  | BS EN 13242 | MS <sub>18</sub>   |
| Acid soluble sulphate content air-cooled blast furnace slag       | BS EN 13242 | ASo <sub>1</sub>   |
| Acid soluble sulphate content non air cooled blast furnace slag   | BS EN 13242 | ASo <sub>2</sub>   |
| Total sulphur aggregates other than air cooled blast furnace slag | BS EN 13242 | <1% by mass        |
| Total sulphur air cooled blast furnace slag                       | BS EN 13242 | <2% by mass        |

| Sieve Size mm | Percentage of 4-20mm passing |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| 40            | 100                          |
| 31.5          | 98 - 100                     |
| 20            | 90 - 99                      |
| 10            | 25 - 70                      |
| 4             | 0 - 15                       |
| 2             | 0 - 5                        |
| 1             | -                            |

Air cooled blast furnace and steel slag should be free from Dicalcium silicate and iron disintegration in accordance with BS EN 13242:2002, 6.4.2.2 v5  
Leaching of materials for blast furnace slag and other recycled materials should meet requirements of the Environmental waste acceptance criteria for inert waste when tested in accordance with BS EN 12457-3  
On poor site conditions which require compaction, this should be minimum 4 passes of a smooth wheeled roller at max weight 1000kg/m width without vibration.

For assistance on correct 4–20mm clean angular stone infill specification, please contact Greenfix technical team.

## MATERIALS SUPPLIED BY **Greenfix**

GEOWEB®



TRP4000  
Non-woven Geotextile



ANCHORS



ATRA® KEY



### ADDITIONAL MATERIALS REQUIRED

4–20mm clean, angular stone



### LIMITED WARRANTY

Presto GEOSYSTEMS® warrants each GEOWEB® section which it ships to be free from defects in materials and workmanship at the time of manufacture. Presto's exclusive liability under this warranty or otherwise will be to furnish without charge to Presto's customer at the original f.o.b. point a replacement for any section which proves to be defective under normal use and service during the 10-year period which begins on the date of shipment by Presto. Presto reserves the right to inspect any allegedly defective section in order to verify the defect and ascertain its cause.

This warranty does not cover defects attributable to causes or occurrences beyond Presto's control and unrelated to the manufacturing process, including, but not limited to, abuse, misuse, mishandling, neglect, improper storage, improper installation, improper alteration or improper application.

PRESTO MAKES NO OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WRITTEN OR ORAL, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OR MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE, IN CONNECTION WITH THE GEOWEB® SYSTEM. IN NO EVENT SHALL PRESTO BE LIABLE FOR ANY SPECIAL, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES FOR THE BREACH OF ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY OR FOR ANY OTHER REASON, INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE, IN CONNECTION WITH THE GEOWEB® SYSTEM.

GEOSYSTEMS®, GEOWEB®, and ATRA® are registered trademarks of Reynolds Presto Products Inc.

GEOWEB® MANUFACTURER:




PRESTO GEOSYSTEMS®  
Appleton, Wisconsin, USA

EU HEAD OFFICE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT:



SOILTEC GmbH: Germany  
www.soiltec-geosystems.de

DISTRIBUTED BY:



Greenfix Soil Stabilisation & Erosion Control Limited  
Old Manor Farm Yard  
Beckford Road  
Ashton under Hill  
Evesham  
Worcestershire  
WR11 7SU  
[info@greenfix.co.uk](mailto:info@greenfix.co.uk)  
[www.greenfix.co.uk](http://www.greenfix.co.uk)  
01608666027

## **Appendix 4 – Supervision Record Sheet**



| SITE SUPERVISION RECORD  |  |                           |              |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Client:</b>           |  |                           | <b>Date:</b> |
| <b>Site reference:</b>   |  |                           |              |
| <b>Planning App ref:</b> |  | <b>Development stage:</b> |              |
| <b>Purpose of visit</b>  |  |                           |              |
|                          |  |                           |              |
| <b>Key Personnel:</b>    |  |                           |              |

| SUPERVISION NOTES                              |  |
|------------------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Tree protection checked</b>                 |  |
| <b>Additional comments / issues identified</b> |  |
|                                                |  |

| ACTIONS                                         |
|-------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Remedial work / further actions required</b> |
|                                                 |

|                                                       |              |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                                       |              |
| <b>Notify Local Planning Authority / Tree Officer</b> | <b>Y / N</b> |
| <b>Notify Client</b>                                  | <b>Y / N</b> |
| <b>Date of next visit:</b>                            |              |

| SIGNED                         |  |               |  |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------|--|
| <b>Project Arboriculturist</b> |  | <b>Signed</b> |  |
|                                |  |               |  |
|                                |  |               |  |